



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/495,276	06/27/95	REARDON	K P105459AV
------------	----------	---------	-------------

SAFOUREK, EXAMINER

26M1/0701

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2603

4

DATE MAILED: 07/01/96

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on _____ This action is made final

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), 0 days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.	<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.
<input type="checkbox"/> Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474..	<input type="checkbox"/>

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. Claims 1-12 are pending in the application.

Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.

2. Claims _____ have been cancelled.

3. Claims _____ are allowed.

4. Claims 1-12 are rejected.

5. Claims _____ are objected to.

6. Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

7. This application has been filed with Informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.

8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.

9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).

10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____, has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).

11. The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been approved; disapproved (see explanation).

12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____.

13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

14. Other

Art Unit: 2603

A. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

B. Claims 1-4, 7 and 8 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 13, 25, 2, 14, 26, 3, 15, 27, 4, 16, 29, 5, 17, 30, 6, 18 and 31 of copending application Serial No. 08/495,385. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the priority value selection based on service level is obvious based on such items as data backlog and class of service subscribed to.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

C. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an enabling disclosure.

Art Unit: 2603

It cannot be seen how lines 6 and 7 of claim 1, "ascertaining, responsive to the access priority value, when the channel is available". Box 323 is a simple yes/no not dependent on priority controlling random timer 325 but the signal from 321 does not even control the time generated by 325 to go to access attempt box 307. The ascertaining is not disclosed as based on priority. See also claim 12. It appears random.

D. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

E. Claim 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The channel priority is indefinite as there is only one claimed channel. It cannot have priority.

F. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --
(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kuddes et al.

Serial Number: 08/495,276

-4-

Art Unit: 2603

Kuddes et al vary their backoff time for channel access depending on station priority as in claims 1 and 8. The time can vary depending on the number of tries as in claim 7.

G. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. V. Safourek whose telephone number is (703) 305-4364. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Olms, can be reached on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-9509.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

B. V. Safourek/skf
June 20, 1996

Benedict V Safourek

BENEDICT V. SAFOUREK
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 263